arXiv:1305.0498v2 [astro-ph.CO] 14 May 2013

Can dark energy be gravitational waves?

Peter L. Biermann*
Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Alabama, Box 870324, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0324, USA
MPI for Radioastronomy, Bonn, Germany
Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) - Institut für Kernphysik, Germany
Department of Physics, University of Alabama at Huntsville, AL, USA and
Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Bonn, Germany

Benjamin C. Harms†
Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Alabama, Box 870324, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0324, USA
(Dated: version May 2, 2013)

The idea that dark energy is gravitational waves may explain its strength and its time-evolution. A possible concept is that dark energy is the ensemble of coherent bursts (solitons) of gravitational waves originally produced when the first generation of super-massive black holes was formed. These solitons get their initial energy as well as keep up their energy density throughout the evolution of the universe by stimulating emission from a background, a process which we model by working out this energy transfer in a Boltzmann equation approach. New Planck data suggest that dark energy has increased in strength over cosmic time, supporting the concept here. The transit of these gravitational wave solitons may be detectable. Key tests include pulsar timing, clock jitter and the radio background.

I. INTRODUCTION

Dark energy was originally detected as accelerated expansion seen in the distance scale for supernovae of type Ia (Schmidt et al., 1998, Riess et al. 1999, Perlmutter et al. 1999; for a review see Frieman et al. 2008). Many suggestions have been made about what dark energy is, what its strength is, what its time evolution is, and what possible further observational results are.

The idea that dark energy is gravitational waves may explain its strength and its time-evolution. One possible concept is that dark energy is the ensemble of coherent bursts (solitons) of gravitational waves originally produced when the first generation of super-massive back holes was formed (Caramete & Biermann 2010); the energy density of such solitons would suffice within the uncertainties. These solitons get their initial energy as well as keep up their energy density throughout the evolution of the universe by stimulating emission from a background (Biermann & Harms 2013). Our model of the background metric resembles the Randall-Sundrum ideas (1999a, b) but is time-dependent, and describes the energy flow from the background (strong-gravity) brane to our world (weak-gravity) brane. Planck data suggest that dark energy has increased in strength over cosmic time (Planck 2013 XVI), as predicted by our model. Gravitational waves were far below today's dark energy at the epoch of early nucleosynthesis and of the formation of the microwave background ripples (as summarized in Ligo+Virgo-Coll. 2009), both much earlier than the likely formation epoch of the first generation of super-massive black holes. Our model is also consistent with early star formation, as we argue below. The transit of the gravitational wave solitons postulated here may be detectable. We discuss the predictions briefly below and elsewhere. We focus on the Boltzmann equation approach, working out the energy transfer from the strong gravity background in stimulated emission.

A. Basic ideas

Inspired by Bekenstein's (1973) considerations we posit: When the first generation of super-massive black holes was formed, each produced a coherent burst of soliton-like gravitational waves which combine to give a total energy of order

$$\sim \frac{1}{2} N_{BH,0} M_{BH} c^2 (1+z_\star)^3 \, . \qquad (1)$$

In the following we also call this an ensemble of soliton waves, or shell fronts. $N_{BH,0}$ is the original comoving density of super-massive black holes. Today super-massive black holes have a density of $10^{-1.7\pm0.4}$ Mpc^{-3} above $M_{BH} = 3 \cdot 10^6 \, \mathrm{M}_\odot$ (Caramete & Biermann 2010); assuming that they grow by merging, and allowing for statistical and systematic errors, an original comoving density of $N_{BH,0} = 1$ Mpc^{-3} seems possible. This comoving density is the density black holes had at the beginning, so transposed to today without change in their numbers per comoving volume. The data suggest that there was a generation of first super-massive black holes with a mass between $M_{BH} \sim 10^6 \, M_\odot$ and $M_{BH} \sim 10^7 \, M_\odot$. The original black hole mass may be $\sim 3 \cdot 10^6 \, M_\odot$ considering (i) the black hole mass function (Greene et al. 2006, Caramete & Biermann 2010), (ii) the instability of massive stars (Appenzeller & Fricke 1972a,

---

*Electronic address: plbiermann@mpifr-bonn.mpg.de
†Electronic address: bharms@bama.ua.edu

b) in an agglomeration picture (Spitzer 1969, Sanders 1970), and (iii) the observed black hole in our Galactic Center (e.g. Eckart et al. 2005). The redshift of creation $z_\star$ may be large, as formation of massive stars may begin at redshift 80 (Biermann & Kusenko 2006). Redshifts $z_\star$ from about 30 to 50 allow a quantitative interpretation of the data of dark energy. At the original density of black holes adopted here redshift 50 is consistent with the mass of $M_{BH} \sim 3 \cdot 10^6 \, M_\odot$, and redshift 30 would imply $M_{BH} \sim 10^7 \, M_\odot$, in either case to make the estimate consistent with dark energy today.

What is the motivation for considering gravitational waves? Bekenstein (1973) wrote about the entropy of the universe: "... we must regard black hole entropy as a genuine contribution to the entropy content of the universe". However, entropy is also information, and information must have a carrier. A natural suggestion is that this carrier is gravitational waves, with an energy commensurate with the black hole scale. This suggestion is consistent with the fact that cosmological black holes are not in thermodynamic equilibrium, and therefore the entropy associated with such black holes should be described by statistical mechanics as advocated in Harms and Leblanc (1992, 1993). This speculation immediately gives

$$\frac{S}{k_B} = N_{GW,0} = 4\pi \left(\frac{M_{BH}}{m_{Pl}} \right)^2 \text{ , for zero spin ,} \quad (2)$$

where $N_{GW,0}$ is the number of gravitons at the formation of the black hole. For $M_{BH} = 3 \cdot 10^6 \, \mathrm{M}_\odot$ this is $N_{GW,0} \simeq 10^{90}$. M_{BH} is the original mass of the black hole, m_{Pl} is the Planck mass, c is the speed of light, and G_N is Newton's constant of gravity. E_{GW} is the average graviton energy given by

$$E_{GW} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \, \frac{\hbar c^3}{G_N M_{BH}} = \frac{c^2}{8\pi} \, \frac{m_{Pl}^2}{M_{BH}} \, . \quad (3)$$

This gives a graviton energy of $E_{GW} \simeq 10^{-30}$ erg for this black hole mass. The entire energy content then is

$$N_{GW,0} \, E_{GW} = \frac{1}{2} \, M_{BH} \, c^2 \, . \quad (4)$$

We picture this as a coherent burst of gravitational waves, or a soliton wave, ejected at formation of the black hole. It is clear from the considerations above that we are not using the weak-field approximation. Multiplying with the original density of super-massive black holes reproduces our estimate above.

B. Background model

In our model for the background, which has some similarity to the Randall-Sundrum (1999a, b) ideas, we identify a possible local metric to describe a 5D world with a 4D strong gravity brane and our 4D world weak-gravity brane.

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & \quad -e^{(u/l)^m \, t/\psi} \, c^2 \, dt^2 + \mathrm{e}^{\left(\frac{u}{l}\right)^p \, t/\beta} \, du^2 \\ & +\mathrm{e}^{\left(1 - b \left(\frac{u}{l}\right)^n\right) 2 \, t/\alpha t_H} \, \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{u}{l}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{t}{\phi}\right)} \, dx_i \, dx^i \, , \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $i = 1, 2, 3$, τ_H is the Hubble time, $l = l_{Pl}$ is the Planck length, $\tau_{Pl} = l_{Pl}/c$ is the Planck time, u is the coordinate in the fifth dimension, and the remaining, non-coordinate quantities are arbitrary parameters. Although the five-dimensional covariant divergence of the energy-momentum tensor does not vanish everywhere, it vanishes on the weak-gravity (weak) brane ($u = 0$), and it is approximately zero on the strong-gravity (strong) brane ($u = l$) for very small dimensionless ratios β/τ_H and ψ/τ_H with $\psi < 0$ and $\beta > 0$, with the conditions a) $\tau_H(\psi + \beta)/(\psi\beta) >> 1$, b) $2(b-1)/\alpha > \tau_H/\phi - 1$, and c) $\alpha^2 = 3$. g_{00} in the metric above then defines the confining potential for the strong brane. Gravitons from our brane stimulate transitions between bound states on the strong brane, resulting in the emission of gravitons onto our brane. This metric describes a weak brane for our world which is expanding with time, and a strong brane which is contracting with time, albeit very slowly for the latter brane. The 5D-cosmological constant measured on the weak brane is $\Omega_{weak} = - \left(\frac{\tau_{Pl}}{\tau_H} \right)^2$.

In our model the gravitons at high energy in the background obey a Planck-like distribution with Planck temperature. We adopt the point of view that the Planck scales are limits: nothing can go below Planck time and Planck length, and no single particle can go beyond Planck energy, in any frame. The strong brane is stable against collapse, since given a Planck spectrum for any wavelength λ the free-fall time scale τ_{ff} is always either equal or longer than the pressure wave time scale τ_s.

$$\tau_{ff} = \tau_{Pl} \left(\frac{\lambda}{l_{Pl}} \right)^{3/2} \geq \tau_{Pl} \left(\frac{\lambda}{l_{Pl}} \right) = \tau_s .$$

II. ENERGY TRANSFER BY STIMULATED EMISSION

In the following we use a particle-wave duality for gravitons at high energy, which thus associates a wavenumber $\vec{k}/\hbar$ and a corresponding length-scale λ to each spatial direction, and we assume localization is possible to about a wavelength.

A. Direct derivation from Boltzmann equation

The distribution function $\mathcal{N}(k, t)$ satisfies the equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\dot{R}(t)}{R(t)} \, k \, \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \right) \mathcal{N}(k, t) =$$
$$\frac{1}{k} \int \frac{d^3 \, k'}{(2\pi)^3 \, 2 \, k'} \int \frac{c d^3 \, p}{(2\pi)^3 \, 2 \, E(p)} \int \frac{c d^3 \, p'}{(2\pi)^3 \, 2 \, E(p')} \int \frac{d^3 \, k''}{(2\pi)^3 \, 2 \, k''}$$

$$|M|^2\, 2\,\pi^4 \delta^4(K+Q-K'-K''-Q')\, 2\,\pi^3\, \delta^3(\vec{k'}-\vec{k''})$$

$$(\mathcal{N}(k',t)\,\mathcal{N}(k'',t)\,g_b(p',t)\,(1+\mathcal{N}(k,t))$$

$$-\,\mathcal{N}(k,t)\,g_b(p,t)\,(1+\mathcal{N}(k',t))\,(1+\mathcal{N}(k'',t)))\ . \quad (6)$$

where $K = (k, \vec{k})$, $Q = (E, \vec{p})$, and $\mathcal{N}(k,t)$ is the distribution of allowed states for gravitons with momenta $k = |\vec{k}|$ and $p = |\vec{p}|$ at time t on the shell. The δ-function, $\delta^3(\vec{k'}-\vec{k''})$, has been inserted to impose coherence of the outgoing gravitons. $|M|^2$ is the matrix element squared for the quadrupole emission of a graviton of 4-momentum K''. $g_b(p,t)$ is the occupation number distribution of the background particle sea. $R(t) = (1+z_\star)/(1+z)$ is the scale factor for an expanding universe.

The Boltzmann equation for $\mathcal{N}(k,t)$ to lowest order in the expansion of the 4-dimensional δ-function is

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\dot{R}(t)}{R(t)}\,k\,\frac{\partial}{\partial k}\right)\mathcal{N}(k,t) \simeq \frac{-\kappa}{k}\,\mathcal{N}(k,t)\,(\mathcal{N}(k,t)+1)\,, \tag{7}$$

where the factor κ is given by

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{2\pi R(t)}\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\,\pi)^3}\frac{|M|^2}{E(p)^2} \;=\; \frac{\kappa_0}{R(t)}\,, \tag{8}$$

and $|M|^2$ is as stated above.

The product $\mathcal{N}(k,t)\,(\mathcal{N}(k,t)+1)$ can be expressed as

$$-\,\mathcal{N}(k,t)\,(\mathcal{N}(k,t)+1) = \frac{k_B}{c}\,T_g\,\frac{\partial}{\partial k}\mathcal{N}(k,t)\,, \tag{9}$$

where $T_g = T_{g0}/R(t)$ and k is the magnitude of the graviton momentum. This replacement is valid for a Planck-like distribution on the shell. After making the replacement $k = \tilde{k}/R(t)$, the equation for $\mathcal{N}(\tilde{k},t)$ becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{N}(\tilde{k},t) = \,\kappa_0\frac{k_B\,T_{g0}}{c}\,\frac{1}{\tilde{k}}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{k}}\mathcal{N}(\tilde{k},t)\,, \tag{10}$$

or in terms of the frequency of the wave at emission ν_0

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{N}(\nu_0,t) = \,\kappa_0\frac{k_B\,T_{g0}}{h^2 c}\,\frac{c}{\nu_0}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu_0}\mathcal{N}(\nu_0,t)\,, \tag{11}$$

The solution of this equation can be written in terms of dimensionless parameters x and y, where $x = \frac{h\,\nu_0}{k_B\,T_0}$, and $y = \int_0^t \frac{\kappa_0\,c}{k_B\,T_0}\frac{T_{g0}}{T_0}\,dt'$ as

$$\mathcal{N}(x,y) \;=\; \frac{1}{\pi^2}\,\frac{1}{e^X - 1} \tag{12}$$

with $X = \sqrt{\{x^2+2y\}}$. When y reaches the same order of magnitude as x^2, the number density $\mathcal{N}(x,y)$ begins to deviate from the Planck-like distribution; this is to be expected as soon as the energy becomes low enough for mass to appear. This leads to the speculation that this term might correspond to the Higgs mass. Here we arbitrarily set $y = 0$ and will discuss the possible significance of the term elsewhere.

The rate at which energy is created can be calculated from the expression for $\mathcal{N}$ in eq.11. The rate of energy creation per one original graviton (of the total number $N_{GW,0}$) is

$$\frac{d<E>}{dt} =$$

$$R(t)\kappa_0\,k_B T_{g0}\frac{c}{h}\int \nu_0^2\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu_0}\mathcal{N}(\nu_0,t)\left(\frac{h\,R(t)}{k_b\,T_{g0}}\right)^3 d\nu_0 \tag{13}$$

The total rate of energy creation is

$$\frac{d<E_T>}{dt} \;=\; N_{GW,0}\,R(t)^4\,\kappa_0\,c\,A\,. \tag{14}$$

$A = \frac{h^2}{k_B^2 T_{g0}^2}\int \nu_0^2\frac{\partial\mathcal{N}(\nu_0,t)}{\partial\nu_0}d\nu_0$, and $k_B T_{g0} = m_{Pl}c^2\frac{m_{Pl}}{M_{BH}}$.

In analogy with electrodynamics we adopt the point of view that the matrix element squared for the emission of a quadrupole wave from the background evolves as

$$|M|^2 \;\simeq\; \frac{3}{A}c^2\,H(z)\left(\frac{1+z}{1+z_*}\right)^4. \tag{15}$$

This dependence on redshift can be thought of as one factor of $(1+z)$ as arising from the redshift dependence of energy over mass c^2, and the other three powers as $(1+z)^3 \propto 1/R^3$ arising from the spatial dependence of quadruple emission. The overall time scale can reasonably be expected to be $H(z)^{-1}$. We expect A to be of order unity.

This allows the expression for $\frac{d<E_T>}{dt}$ to be consistent with the observed energy density and the interaction term κ_0 to evolve with red-shift as

$$\kappa_0 \;=\; \frac{3}{A}m_p\,c\,H(z)\left(\frac{1+z}{1+z_*}\right)^5\,\frac{m_p}{M_{BH}}\,. \tag{16}$$

Inserting this parameter dependence then leads back, to within a factor of order unity, to the result we were seeking,

$$\frac{3}{2}\,M_{BH}\,c^2\,H(z)\left(\frac{1+z_\star}{1+z}\right)^3. \tag{17}$$

After integrating we obtain with this redshift dependence a constant dark energy density as in eq. 1 by multiplying by the redshift evolution of black holes $N_{BH,0}\,(1+z)^3$.

B. Heuristic derivation

The microscopic picture derives from the resonance argument in momentum space between our weak gravity brane and the strong gravity brane. In analogy to the terms in the Kompaneets (Kompaneets 1957, Zeldovich

1975) equation describing stimulated emission for photons, derived from the Boltzmann equation, there are four steps necessary: We need to 1) define the reference frame for the stimulation; 2) define the phase space density, which then occurs squared in the relationship for stimulated emission; 3) determine the number of new gravitons created; and 4) fix the characteristic energy and rate.

In the determination of a possible frame of reference the key point is that the coherent wave can be localized only to a finite length. We start with the wavelength of the resonant wave: $\lambda_{GW} \simeq 3\, l_{Pl}\, \frac{M_{BH}}{m_{Pl}}$. For a very large number of gravitons N_{GW}, however, we obtain a precision in localization of

$$\frac{\lambda_{GW}}{\sqrt{N_{GW}}} \simeq l_{Pl}\ . \tag{18}$$

A localization of a signal with uncertainty implies a velocity $< c$. Nominally, a factor of $(1+z)/(1+z_\star) \leq 1$ ought to be there, but as in our approach no length scale can be shorter than the Planck length is any frame, the Planck length itself is the limit. A one-step random walk in space analysis to describe the uncertainty yields a Lorentz factor given by $\frac{1}{2}\, \frac{l_{Pl}^2}{r(z,z_\star)^2} = \frac{1}{2\Gamma^2}$, where $r(z, z_\star) = \int_z^{z_\star} \frac{c\, dz'}{H(z')}$ is the distance scale from source to observer. Therefore $\Gamma = r(z, z_\star)/l_{Pl}$. Space uncertainty implies going forward or backward, time uncertainty implies going forward or not going forward. For the time uncertainty we obtain an expression for the Lorentz factor with a square-root. We use $H(z)^{-1}$ as an approximation for the time rather than its redshift integral, since it allows a simpler final expression, and is a good approximation for times near today. Combining two Lorentz factors allows either the addition or the subtraction of the velocities, and here only the subtraction gives reasonable numbers - unreasonable is an implied energy of a single graviton beyond the Planck scale. Therefore using both space and time gives finally the Lorentz factor of a shell front

$$\Gamma \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\, \frac{r(z, z_\star)}{l_{Pl}}\, \{H(z) \tau_{Pl}\}^{1/2}\, . \tag{19}$$

For a high original redshift $z_\star$ looked at from today ($z = 0$) this Lorentz factor is very large, typically of order 10^{31}.

In momentum space the gravitons are coherent within a coherence patch, a fact we will use below. A coherence patch is defined by the wavelength of the gravitational wave or graviton in the two directions perpendicular to the direction of the highest momentum component. In momentum space the gravitons are highly anisotropic, but we are concerned with the rate of interaction with the background, and thus have to multiply the cross-section with the Lorentz-factor Γ to obtain $\left(N_{GW}\, \frac{\sigma_{Pl}}{4\pi R_s^2}\, \Gamma\right)$, where we have normalized this surface density to the Planck area.

We can derive this critical phase space density by noting, that multiplying this term with the coherent area $\pi\lambda^2$, the collision velocity in the comoving frame of c, the Planck time τ_{Pl}, the density scaling of the background l_{Pl}^{-3}, the interaction probability $\mathcal{P}$, and the fraction of resonant phase space in the Planck distribution of the background $(m_{Pl}/M_{BH})^3 \Gamma/(\Gamma\, m_{Pl}/M_{BH})$ gives unity for exactly $\mathcal{P} = 1$, so stimulating the energy transfer of one graviton to our weak brane. This is consistent with Fermi's Golden rule in which the rate of energy transfer depends on the number of states linearly.

In a numerical example of a black hole mass of $3 \cdot 10^6$ $\mathrm{M}_\odot$, a creation redshift for the first generation of $z_\star = 50$, and a scale R_s equal to the luminosity distance d_L the critical phase space density $\left(N_{GW}\, \frac{\sigma_{Pl}}{4\pi R_s^2}\, \Gamma\right)$, is of order unity. We note that the luminosity distance is in our general case $d_L = r(z, z_\star)(1 + z_\star)/(1 + z)$, just taken from redshift z to redshift $z_\star$.

The number of coherence patches is, again in the comoving frame, $\left(\frac{4\pi R_s^2}{\pi\lambda^2}\Gamma\right)$, which is just the total area divided by the lateral area of a coherence patch. Again we note that we are talking about a rate, and so get the extra factor of Γ in the shell frame.

We use stimulated emission, so the energy of the single new graviton E_R pulled from the background has to be in resonance: $E_R = E_{GW}\Gamma$. The rate is just one graviton per Planck time in the proper frame $(\mathrm{rate}) = \tau_{Pl}^{-1}$. These expressions are again in the shell frame.

Out of these four terms we can form an expression for stimulated emission. This equation for the stimulated emission is constructed in a familiar non-linear form (Kompaneets 1957) for stimulated emission and can be written in the form of energy E per unit time created in the form of resonant gravitons (Biermann & Harms 2012, 2013), putting all the terms together:

$$\frac{dE}{d\tau} = \left(N_{GW} \frac{\sigma_{Pl}}{4\pi R_s^2} \Gamma_D\right)^2 \left(\frac{4\pi R_s^2}{\pi\lambda^2} \Gamma_D\right) E_{GW} \Gamma_E \tau_{Pl}^{-1} \tag{20}$$

in the comoving frame with proper time τ on a spherical surface of radius R_s with graviton number N_{GW}, now including its redshift dependence. In eq.20 we have differentiated between the Lorentz factor for the surface, and the energy transformation, since in a more general application they may not be the same. Γ_E is the Lorentz factor as applied to the transformation of energy. E_{GW} is the energy of the gravitons on the surface in the observer frame, and $\lambda \sim ch/E_{GW}$ is the corresponding length scale. Γ_D is a Lorentz factor applied to the surface density relative to the observer and to the lateral surface density in terms of coherence patches of area $\pi\lambda^2$. In the following analysis we identify these two Lorentz factors with the Lorentz factor Γ derived above.

As a check of this equation we realize that the number of coherent patches is to within a factor of the Lorentz factor Γ again the critical phase space density, but inverted. This implies that we can simplify the equation above and thus resurrect the interaction rate including the energy of the resonant graviton produced in stimulated emission.

C. Consistency

We can take the time derivative of the existing dark energy and compare with the derivative of the equation derived above heuristically for the stimulated emission: $\frac{d}{dt}(N_{GW}E_{GW})$ for which we obtain a solution with the redshift dependency $N_{GW} \propto \left(\frac{1+z_\star}{1+z}\right)^4$. Using $E_{GW} \propto \frac{1+z}{1+z_\star}$ we obtain $\frac{3}{2}\, M_{BH}\, c^2 \left(\frac{1+z_\star}{1+z}\right)^3 H(z)$.

Comparing eq.17 with the stimulation expression eq.20 gives a mismatch by $(\pi/3)^{1/2} \simeq 1.02$, which could easily be incorporated into the definition of the critical phase space density for instance. So the key result of this paper is the redshift dependence for N_{GW}, which gives a quantitative solution to the non-linear stimulation expression eq.20. The initial level of N_{GW} is Bekenstein's (1973) entropy S/k_B.

D. Caveats

First, we have used the assumption that there is actually a single identifiable first generation of super-massive stars. which evolve into black holes, all of the same mass. However, as can be easily ascertained, any later deposition of gravitational wave energy is weakened relative to the first by the product of the density of those black holes $N_{BH,0}$ and the redshift factor $(1 + z_\star)^3$. An alternate possible picture would be the formation of degenerate dark matter stars, which get eaten up from the inside by stellar mass black holes (Munyaneza & Biermann 2005, 2006) to produce super-massive black holes. A mass of order $3 \cdot 10^6$ $M_\odot$ (Wang & Biermann 1998) allows the big mass black holes to form relatively early in the universe as observed; any original mass significantly less would not allow sufficient time.

Second, we have assumed, that black holes reach their high masses observed more by merging than by accretion, since with such a model we obtain the relatively high early black hole densities necessary. The electrodynamic emission of accreting black holes integrated over time tends to exceed what is implied by cosmological simulations (Caramete & Biermann (2010)). All the energy deposition "visible" in the sum is accounted for by large scale structure formation.

A third assumption is that stellar black holes do not participate significantly. The summed contribution of stellar mass black holes might be expected to exceed the contribution from a single central super-massive black hole. However, stellar black holes do not provide a critical phase space density for their ejected shell of gravitons, and so "drop out". This cut-off is clear from the derivation.

III. PREDICTIONS

First, dark energy should be detectable by pulsar timing (e.g. Kramer 2010). Our proposal says that dark energy arises from coherent bursts of gravitational waves produced by forming the first generation of super-massive black holes. This implies that at the properly redshifted frequency of these black holes the gravitational wave background should reach a value close to unity relative to critical density, with a Planck spectrum.

Second, the passing of the soliton-like gravitational wave shells should be detectable as jitter in clocks (e.g. Predehl et al. 2012), given a substantial improvement over current observations.

Third, the original creation of the super-massive black holes should be detectable through their extended remnants in the radio, X-ray, γ-ray and neutrino background, and in ionized molecular hydrogen absorption. It may have been detected already in the radio (Fixsen et al., Kogut et al., Seiffert et al. 2011; Condon et al. 2012).

Fourth, the fragmented gaseous shell around the remnants could be the birth of bulge-less disk galaxies (Kormendy et al. 2010, 2011, Kormendy & Bender 2011). Such a population of huge shells may be consistent with the density and masses of such galaxies.

Fifth, intergalactic magnetic fields may be initialized at that epoch as well. Massive stars rotating due to tidal forces form magnetic fields (e.g., Biermann & Schlüter 1951), and turbulent motions with rotation will enhance these magnetic fields by the dynamo effect.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have presented a simple analytic picture for the origin of dark energy, based on the idea that in their formation super-massive black holes eject a coherent burst of gravitational waves, which get about $(1/2)M_{BH}c^2$ from the background by stimulated emission. The acceleration equation now takes the energy transfer $((4\pi G_N)/3)(4\rho_{DE})$ of dark energy into account with $(d^2R/dt^2) = -((4\pi G_N)/3)(\rho + 3P/c^2) + ((4\pi G_N)/3)(4\rho_{DE})$. The factor of 4 in the energy transfer term derives from the compensation of the energy loss of a relativistic gas running with $(1+z)^4$. Interpreting in the limit ρ as dark energy, and using the e.o.s. of gravitational waves of $P = (1/3)\rho c^2$ we resurrect the normal equation with an apparent negative pressure, mimicking $P_{DE} = -\rho_{DE}c^2$. The background is conceived as similar to the model by Randall & Sundrum, but variable in time.

Some tests of these ideas are i) pulsar timing to detect the gravitational wave background which constitutes dark energy; ii) a jitter in clock timing associated with the many coherent bursts of gravitational waves going past; and, iii) a radio background associated with the remnants of the formation of the first generation of black holes.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Discussions with L. Clavelli greatly contributed to the development of these ideas; discussions with L. Caramete and O. Micu (Bucharest, Romania), R. Casadio (Bologna, Italy), M. Cavaglia (Oxford, MS, USA), L. Gergely (Szeged, Hungary), Sh. Hou and A. Stern (Tuscaloosa, AL), P. Joshi (Mumbai, India), Gopal-Krishna (Pune, India), B. Nath and C. Sivaram (Bangalore, India), P. Nicolini (Frankfurt, Germany), and D. Stoikovic (Buffalo, NY, USA) are gratefully acknowledged. This research was supported in part by the DOE under grant DE-FG02-10ER41714.

[1] Appenzeller, I., & Fricke, K., *A&A* **18**, 10 (1972a)
[2] Appenzeller, I., & Fricke, K., *A&A* **21**, 285 (1972b)
[3] Bekenstein, J.D., *Phys. Rev.* **D 7**, 2333 (1973)
[4] Biermann, L., Schlüter, A., *Phys. Rev.* **82**, 863 (1951)
[5] Biermann, P.L., Kusenko, A., *Phys. Rev. Lett* **96**, 091301 (2006)
[6] Biermann, P.L., & Harms, B.C., eprint arXiv:1205.4016 (2012)
[7] Biermann, P.L., & Harms, B.C., in Proc. at the 13th Marcel Grossmann Meeting, July 2012, eprint arXiv:1302.0040 (2013)
[8] Caramete, L.I., & Biermann, P.L., *A&A* **521**, id.A55 (2010)
[9] Condon, J.J., et al., *ApJ* **758**, id.23, (2012)
[10] Eckart, A., et al., in *THE EVOLUTION OF STARBURSTS:* The 331st Wilhelm and Else Heraeus Seminar. AIP Conf. Proc. **783**, 17 (2005)
[11] Fixsen, D.J., et al., *ApJ* **734**, id.5 (2011)
[12] Frieman, J.A., Turner, M.S., & Huterer, D., *ARA&A* **46**, 385 (2008)
[13] Greene, J.E., Barth, A.J., & Ho, L.C., *New Astron. Rev.* **50**, 739 (2006)
[14] Harms, B.C., & Leblanc, Y., *Phys. Rev.* **D 46**, 2334 (1992)
[15] Harms, B.C., & Leblanc, Y., *Phys. Rev.* **D 47**, 2438 (1993)
[16] Kogut, A., et al., *ApJ* **734**, id. 4 (2011)
[17] Kompaneets, A.S., *Sov. Phys. J. Exp. & Theor. Phys.* **4**, 730 (1957)
[18] Kormendy, J., et al. *ApJ* **723**, 54 (2010)
[19] Kormendy, J., Bender, R., & Cornell, M.E., *Nature* **469**, 374 (2011)
[20] Kormendy, J., Bender, R., *Nature* **469**, 377 (2011)
[21] Kramer, M., in *Relativity in Fundamental Astronomy: Dynamics, Reference Frames, and Data Analysis*, Proc. IAU Symp. **261**, p. 366 (2010)
[22] LIGO and Virgo collaborations, *Nature* **460**, 990 (2009)
[23] Munyaneza, F., & Biermann, P.L., *A&A* **436**, 805 (2005)
[24] Munyaneza, F., & Biermann, P.L., *Astron. & Astroph. Letters* **458**, L9 (2006)
[25] Perlmutter, S., et al., *ApJ* **517**, 565 (1999)
[26] Planck Collaboration; Ade, P.A.R., et al., eprint arXiv:1303.5078 (2013)
[27] Predehl, K., et al., *Science* **336**, 441 (2012)
[28] Randall, L., Sundrum, R., *Phys. Rev. Lett* **83**, 3370 (1999a)
[29] Randall, L., Sundrum, R., *Phys. Rev. Lett* **83**, 4690 (1999b)
[30] Riess, A.G., et al. *AJ* **116**, 1009 (1998)
[31] Sanders, R.H., *ApJ* **162**, 791 (1970)
[32] Schmidt, B.P., et al., *ApJ* **507**, 46 (1998)
[33] Seiffert, M., et al., *ApJ* **734**, id.6 (2011)
[34] Spitzer, L., Jr., *ApJ* **158**, L139 (1969)
[35] Wang, Y.-P., & Biermann, P.L., *A&A* **334**, 87 (1998)
[36] Zel'dovich, Ya.B., *Usp. Fiz. Nauk* **115**, 161 (1975); transl. *Sov. Phys. - Usp.* **18**, 79 (1975)